

ASPEN Physician Community – Key Publication Review Guidelines

Purpose: To help promote awareness of cutting-edge work in the area of nutrition and allow faculty/trainees with interest/expertise in nutrition to publish a non-peer reviewed brief update.

To help keep topics relevant, we suggest choosing an article from within the past 12 months.

As many of our subscribers regularly receive and review *NCP* and *JPEN*, consider choosing an article from an alternate high-profile journal that our readership may otherwise not review in their regular reading.

General Format: The goal is to keep this relevant, and relatively brief; 500-1,000 words. Please follow the format below for the brief review.

Reviewer: Name and credentials of the person (s) writing the review.

Reference: Full citation, including hyperlink and PMID if applicable.

Why is this Paper Important: Set the stage regarding the relevance and need for awareness of the topic; 100-200 words.

Summary: Describe the study/paper and provide a summary of the findings/conclusions; 150-400 words.

Commentary: Provide your insight into how this helps drive the field of nutrition forward or may change care, or additional consideration/caution that should be maintained as we continue to learn more; 100-300 words.

References: As this is not to be a thorough review, please keep additional references, if appropriate, to 5 or less.