Assessing Nutrition Status in Infants and Young Children: Laboratory Assessment

Pediatric Malnutrition¹

Pediatric malnutrition or undernutrition is defined by ASPEN as an imbalance between nutrient requirement and intake, resulting in cumulative deficits of energy, protein, or micronutrients that may negatively affect growth, development, and other relevant outcomes.

It is underrecognized due to lack of a uniform definition. Reported prevalence of illness-related malnutrition is 6%-51% in hospitalized children.

Historical Use of Albumin and Prealbumin^{2,3}

- Albumin and transferrin levels were recommended as markers to identify malnutrition and became highly utilized as a metabolic assessment of nutrition.
- In 1979, albumin and transferrin were used as 2 biomarkers for "instant nutrition assessment."
- Prealbumin emerged as the more sensitive marker due to its shorter half-life and became the preferred lab marker to assess nutrition status and response to nutrition interventions.
- Retinol Binding Protein (RBP) is a visceral protein with the shortest half-life but is more difficult to measure.

This tool is based on the presentation by Dr. Anushree Algotar, MBBS, MPH, Assistant Professor Pediatric Gastroenterology, Children's Intestinal Rehabilitation Program, University of Michigan, C.S. Mott Children's Hospital, Ann Arbor, MI, given during ASPEN Malnutrition Awareness Week 2023.

The recording can be found in the ASPEN Malnutrition Solution Center at nutritioncare. org/Malnutrition under Screening and Assessment after September 30, 2023.



Utility of Albumin as a Biomarker for Nutrition⁴



Factors Affecting Prealbumin Levels⁵

Decrease in Prealbumin Synthesis	Increase in Prealbumin Synthesis
Starvation	Corticosteroid use
Liver disease	NSAID use
Dialysis, nephrotic syndrome	Oral contraceptive use
Hyperthyroidism	Renal failure
Hyperglycemia	Renal tubular damage

Inflammation and Visceral Proteins⁶



Continued on next page

Role of Visceral Proteins in Monitoring Nutrition Support Efficacy⁶

- Visceral proteins have not been shown to be sensitive markers of energy and protein intake adequacy.
- Normalization of serum albumin and prealbumin levels may still have value in the monitoring of recovery.
- · Normalization of visceral proteins may indicate the resolution of inflammation, the reduction of nutrition risk, a transition to anabolism, and potentially lower calorie and protein requirements.

Imaging and Body Composition: Emerging Biomarker for Nutrition Assessment⁶

- · Imaging represents a new frontier in nutrition assessment.
- Dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry, bioelectrical impedance, ultrasound, and computed tomography scans are increasingly used for assessment of muscle mass.
- Each tool has been validated in select populations but are limited either by availability, standardization, or validation in relevant clinical populations.
- · Imaging holds the potential of emerging as a biomarker for standardized nutrition assessment in the future as limitations are overcome.

Micronutrient Status for Nutrition Assessment⁷

- Is especially important for patients requiring medical nutrition therapy (MNT).
- · Blood tests cover a wide range of individual micronutrients but may miss functional deficiencies.
- Ideally, micronutrient status should be measured both intracellularly and functionally.
- Acute phase response can significantly affect plasma levels of micronutrients.
- Whole blood measurements are less likely to be affected by acute phase response as compared to plasma measurements.
- · Specific pediatric diagnoses are associated with possible nutrient deficiencies.8

Summary⁶

- An ideal marker of nutrition should be sensitive, accurate, reproducible, relevant in health and illness, applicable to patients at bedside, and cost-effective.
- Serum albumin and prealbumin are not components of currently accepted definitions of malnutrition and do not serve as valid proxy measures of total body or muscle mass.
- Visceral protein levels decrease in the presence of inflammation regardless of underlying nutritional status.
- Visceral proteins recognized as negative acute phase reactants are associated with nutrition risk.
- Normalization of visceral protein levels may indicate resolution of inflammation, reduction of nutrition risk, transition to anabolism or potentially lower calorie and protein requirements.
- Acute phase response needs to be considered while measuring micronutrient status.
- Micronutrient assays may involve alternative functional options that better reflect status.
- Imaging and body composition assessment are emerging modalities.

References:

- 1. Mehta NM, Corkins MR, Lyman B, et al. Defining pediatric malnutrition: a paradigm shift toward etiology-related definitions. JPEN J Parenter Enteral Nutr. 2013;37(4):460-481.
- 2. Keller U. Nutritional laboratory markers in malnutrition. J Clin Med. 2019 May 31;8(6):775.

AMERICAN SOCIETY FOR

- 3. Beck FK, Rosenthal TC. Prealbumin: a marker for nutritional evaluation [published correction appears in Am Fam Physician 2002 Dec 15;66(12):2208. Am Fam Physician. 2002;65(8):1575-1578.
- Bharadwaj S, Ginoya S, Tandon P, et al. Malnutrition: laboratory markers vs nutritional assessment. Gastroenterol Rep (Oxf). 2016;4(4):272-280.
- 5. Ranasinghe RN, Biswas M, Vincent RP. Prealbumin: The clinical utility and analytical methodologies. Ann Clin Biochem. 2022;59(1):7-14
- 6. Evans DC, Corkins MR, Malone A, et al. The use of visceral proteins as nutrition markers: An ASPEN position paper. Nutr Clin Pract. 2021;36(1):22-28.
- Berger, MM, Talwar, D, Shenkin, A. Pitfalls in the interpretation of blood tests used to assess and monitor micronutrient nutrition status. Nutr Clin Pract. 2023; 38: 56-69. 7.
- 8. Green Corkins K. Nutrition-focused physical examination in pediatric patients. Nutr Clin Pract. 2015;30:203-209.

Disclaimer: This content has been developed for use by healthcare professionals to inform other clinicians and/or patients/caregivers. ASPEN is making this content available for informational purposes only. This content is not based on ASPEN Board Approved documents and should not be confused with ASPEN clinical guidelines as it was not developed according to ASPEN guideline processes. Recommendations provided here do not constitute medical or other professional advice and should not be taken as such. To the extent that the information presented here may be used to assist in the care of patients, the primary component of quality medical care is the result of the professional judgement of the healthcare professionals providing care. The information presented here is not a substitute for the exercise of professional judgement by healthcare professionals. Circumstances and patient specifics in clinical settings may require actions different from those recommended in this document; in those cases, the judgment of the treating professional should prevail. Use of this information does not in any way guarantee any specific benefit in outcome or survival. This tool is intended to supplement, but not replace, professional training and judgment.



